

## Numerical Solution of Delay Integral Equations by Using Block Pulse Functions Arises in Biological Sciences

M. Nouri <sup>a,\*</sup> and K. Maleknejad <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, South Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran, Iran.*

---

**Abstract.** This article proposes a direct method for solving Volterra and Fredholm integral equations with time delay without using any projection method. By using operational matrix of integration, these equations can be reduced to a linear lower triangular system of algebraic equations which can be directly solved by forward substitution. Numerical examples show that the approximate solutions have a good degree of accuracy.

---

Received: 24 February 2016, Revised: 7 May 2016, Accepted: 5 June 2016.

**Keywords:** Block pulse functions, Operational matrix, Integral equations with time delay, Delay operational matrix.

### Index to information contained in this paper

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Solving Volterra Integral Equations with Time Delay
- 4 Solving Fredholm Integral Equations with Time Delay
- 5 Error Estimation and Rate of Convergence
- 6 Numerical Examples
- 7 Conclusion

## 1. Introduction

Integral equations with time delay utilized in physical and biological modeling processes. Delays occurs in biological, chemical, transportation, electronic, communication, manufacturing and power systems. In [2, 10, 11], delay integral equations (DIEs) and delay integro-differential equations (DIDEs) are solved by different methods. In [6, 7], the approximate solutions of optimal control of time delay systems are derived by Block pulse functions. Nowadays, basis functions were used to

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: m\_nouri@azad.ac.ir

derive solutions of integral equations and differential equations that can be seen in [1, 4, 5, 9].

In this paper we use Block pulse functions for numerical solving of two types of integral equations with constant time delay  $\tau > 0$ ,

1) Volterra integral equations with time delay :

$$g(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t, s)g(s - \tau)ds \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \tau \in (0, t)$$

This Volterra integral equation is the modelling of human population that the  $g(t)$  is the number of population in time  $t$  and all children born during the time interval  $0 < \tau < t$  who survive to time  $t$ . Also  $f(t)$  is the survival function, which is the function of the number of people that survive to age  $t$ .

2) Fredholm integral equations with time delay :

$$g(t) = f(t) + \int_a^b k(t, s)g(s - \tau)ds \quad t \in [a, b], \quad \tau \in (a, b)$$

This integral equation is similar to Lotka integral equation for periodicity in the surge of birthrates. The study of population dynamics includes determination of the surge in the birthrate  $g(t)$  at any time  $t$  to allow for future necessary planning. The dependence of the birthrate  $g(t)$  on previous birthrate  $g(t - \tau)$ , for woman in the childbearing age range  $a < \tau < b$  is given by Lotka integral equation.  $k(s, t)$  is the probability that a female lives to age  $\tau$  and she will give birth to a female in the interval  $\Delta\tau$ .  $f(t)$  is a term added to allow for girls already born before the oldest childbearing woman (of age  $\tau = b$ ) was born.

This article is organized as follows. In section 2, we explain block pulse functions and integration operational matrix and functions containing time delay  $f(t - \tau)$ . Section 3 is devoted to solving Volterra integral equations with time delay. In Section 4, we solve Fredholm integral equations with time delay. Section 5 is devoted to error estimation and rate of convergence and in section 6, we achieve numerical examples to show the accuracy of the method and the culmination of paper in section 7 is the conclusion.

## 2. Preliminaries

The aim of this section is to interpret notations and definition of the block pulse functions that have expressed entirely in [8].

### 2.1 Definition

We define the m-set of BPFs as,

$$\phi_i^{(m)}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & (i-1)h \leq t < ih, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with  $t \in [0, T)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $h = \frac{T}{m}$ .

The primary properties of BPFs are disjointness and orthogonality that can be expressed as follows

$$\phi_i^{(m)}(t)\phi_j^{(m)}(t) = \delta_{ij}\phi_i^{(m)}(t), \tag{2}$$

$$\int_0^T \phi_i^{(m)}(t)\phi_j^{(m)}(t)dt = h\delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \tag{3}$$

where  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $\delta_{ij}$  is Kronecker delta.

also If  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then the BPFs set is complete; i.e. for every  $f \in L^2([0, T])$ , Parseval's identity holds,

$$\int_0^T f^2(t)dt = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i^2 \|\phi_i^{(m)}(t)\|^2, \tag{4}$$

where

$$f_i = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^T f(t)\phi_i^{(m)}(t)dt. \tag{5}$$

By considering first  $m$  terms of BPFs, we can write them brevity as  $m$ -vector form

$$\Phi(t) = (\phi_1(t), \phi_2(t), \dots, \phi_m(t))^T, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

**2.2 Functions Approximation**

A real bounded function  $f(t)$ , which  $f(t) \in L^2[0, T]$ , can be expanded into a block pulse series as

$$f(t) \simeq \hat{f}_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \phi_i^{(m)}(t), \tag{6}$$

where  $f_i$  is the block pulse coefficient with respect to the  $i$ th BPF  $\phi_i^{(m)}(t)$ . In the vector form we have,

$$f(t) \simeq \hat{f}_m(t) = F^T \Phi(t) = \Phi^T(t)F, \tag{7}$$

where

$$F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)^T.$$

Let  $k(s, t) \in L^2([0, T_1] \times [0, T_2])$ . It can be expanded as

$$k(s, t) = \Psi^T(s)K\Phi(t) = \Phi^T(t)K^T\Psi(s), \tag{8}$$

where  $\Psi(s)$  and  $\Phi(t)$  are  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  dimensional BPFs vectors respectively, and  $K = (k_{ij}), i = 1, 2, \dots, m_1, j = 1, 2, \dots, m_2$  is the  $m_1 \times m_2$  block pulse coefficient

matrix with

$$k_{ij} = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2} \int_0^{T_1} \int_0^{T_2} k(s, t) \Psi_i^{(m_1)}(s) \Phi_j^{(m_2)}(t) dt ds,$$

where  $h_1 = \frac{T_1}{m_1}$ ,  $h_2 = \frac{T_2}{m_2}$ . For convenience, we put  $m_1 = m_2 = m$ .

### 2.3 Integration operational matrix

Computing  $\int_0^t \phi_i^{(m)}(s) ds$  follows

$$\int_0^t \phi_i^{(m)}(s) ds = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq t < (i-1)h, \\ t - (i-1)h & (i-1)h \leq t < ih, \\ h & ih \leq t < T. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

From [3], We will have:

$$\int_0^t \Phi(s) ds \simeq P\Phi(t), \quad (10)$$

where operational matrix of integration is given by

$$P = \frac{h}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}. \quad (11)$$

So, the integral of every function  $f(t)$  can be approximated as follows

$$\int_0^t f(s) ds \simeq \int_0^t F^T \Phi(s) ds \simeq F^T P \Phi(t). \quad (12)$$

### 2.4 Functions Containing Time Delay $f(t - \tau)$

In order to approximate a function containing time delay, we consider a block pulse function containing time delay  $\tau = (q + \lambda)h$  with a nonnegative integer  $q$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  that can be expressed as

$$\phi_i^{(m)}(t - \tau) = \begin{cases} \phi_{i+q}^{(m)}(t) + \phi_{\lambda}^{(m)}(t - (i+q)h) - \phi_{\lambda}^{(m)}(t - (i+q-1)h) & \text{for } i < m - q \\ \phi_{i+q}^{(m)}(t) - \phi_{\lambda}^{(m)}(t - (i+q-1)h) & \text{for } i = m - q \\ 0 & \text{for } i > m - q. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

or in a vector form :

$$\phi_i^{(m)}(t - \tau) = \Delta_i^T H^q \Phi(t) - \Delta_i^T H^q \Phi_{\lambda}(t) + \Delta_i^T H^{q+1} \Phi_{\lambda}(t), \quad (14)$$

To avoid the expression  $\Phi_\lambda(t)$  in the above equation, we expand the function  $\phi_i^{(m)}(t - \tau)$  into its block pulse series :

$$\phi_i^{(m)}(t - \tau) = (c_{i1}, c_{i2}, \dots, c_{im}) \Phi(t),$$

where the block pulse coefficients  $c_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are :

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{ij} &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^T \phi_i^{(m)}(t - \tau) \phi_j^{(m)}(t) dt, \\
 &= \frac{1}{h} \int_{(j-1)h}^{jh} \phi_i^{(m)}(t - \tau) dt, \\
 &= \frac{1}{h} \Delta_i^T H^q \left( \int_{(j-1)h}^{jh} \Phi(t) dt - \int_{(j-1)h}^{jh} \Phi_\lambda(t) dt + H \int_{(j-1)h}^{jh} \Phi_\lambda(t) dt \right), \\
 &= \Delta_i^T ((1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1}) \Delta_j.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

Noticing that the expression  $\Delta_i^T ((1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1}) \Delta_j$  is just the single entry positioned in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column of the matrix  $(1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1}$ , we can expand the whole block pulse function vector containing time delay  $\tau = (q + \lambda)h$  into its block pulse series in a vector form :

$$\Phi(t - \tau) = ((1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1}) \Phi(t). \tag{16}$$

In the above equation, the matrix  $(1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1}$  is usually called the block pulse operational matrix for time delay, or simply the delay operational matrix. Expressing concretely, it is :

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{(q + 1)th-column} \\
 &\quad \downarrow \\
 (1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \dots 0 & 1 - \lambda & \lambda & 0 \dots & 0 \\ 0 \dots 0 & 0 & 1 - \lambda & \lambda \dots & 0 \\ \vdots \dots \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 \dots 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots & \lambda \\ 0 \dots 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots & 1 - \lambda \\ 0 \dots 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots & 0 \\ \vdots \dots \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 \dots 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

Therefore, the block pulse series of a function containing time delay  $\tau = (q + \lambda)h$  can easily be obtained as :

$$f(t - \tau) \simeq F^T \Phi(t - \tau) = F^T ((1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1}) \Phi(t). \tag{18}$$

### 3. Solving Volterra Integral Equations with Time Delay

We consider following Volterra integral equation with constant time delay  $\tau > 0$ ,

$$g(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t, s)g(s - \tau)ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \tau \in (0, T), \tag{19}$$

where the function  $g \in L^2[0, T]$  is the unknown function, while the functions  $f \in L^2[0, T]$  and  $k(t, s) \in L^2([0, T] \times [0, T])$  are the known functions. We approximate  $g(t)$ ,  $f(t)$ ,  $k(t, s)$  by relations (7), (8) as follows :

$$g(t) \simeq G^T \Phi(t) = \Phi^T(t)G,$$

$$f(t) \simeq F^T \Phi(t) = \Phi^T(t)F,$$

$$k(t, s) \simeq \Phi^T(t)K\Psi(s) = \Psi^T(s)K^T\Phi(t),$$

We approximate  $g(s - \tau)$  by relation (18) as follows,

$$g(s - \tau) \simeq G^T \Psi(s - \tau) \simeq G^T ((1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1})\Psi(s),$$

and by letting  $A = (1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1}$ , we can write,

$$g(s - \tau) \simeq G^T A\Psi(s).$$

With substituting above approximation in equation (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^T \Phi(t) &\simeq F^T \Phi(t) + \int_0^t G^T A\Psi(s)\Psi^T(s)K^T\Phi(t)ds, \\ &\simeq F^T \Phi(t) + G^T A\left(\int_0^t \Psi(s)\Psi^T(s)ds\right)K^T\Phi(t). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Let  $K_i$  be the  $i$ th row of the constant matrix  $K^T$ ,  $R_i$  be the  $i$ th row of the integration operational matrix  $P$ , and  $D_{K_i}$  be a diagonal matrix with  $K_i$  as its diagonal entries. By the previous relations and assuming  $m_1 = m_2$ , we will have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^t \Psi(s)\Psi^T(s)ds\right)K^T\Phi(t) &= \left(\int_0^t \Phi(s)\Phi^T(s)ds\right)K^T\Phi(t) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R_1\Phi(t) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & R_2\Phi(t) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & R_m\Phi(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_1 \\ K_2 \\ \vdots \\ K_m \end{pmatrix} \Phi(t) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R_1\Phi(t)K_1\Phi(t) \\ R_2\Phi(t)K_2\Phi(t) \\ \vdots \\ R_m\Phi(t)K_m\Phi(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1\Phi(t)\Phi^T(t)K_1^T \\ R_2\Phi(t)\Phi^T(t)K_2^T \\ \vdots \\ R_m\Phi(t)\Phi^T(t)K_m^T \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R_1D_{K_1} \\ R_2D_{K_2} \\ \vdots \\ R_mD_{K_m} \end{pmatrix} \Phi(t) = B\Phi(t), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where

$$B = \frac{h}{2} \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & 2k_{21} & \cdots & 2k_{m1} \\ 0 & k_{22} & \cdots & 2k_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & k_{mm} \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} . \tag{22}$$

With substituting relation (21) in (20), we have

$$G^T \Phi(t) \simeq F^T \Phi(t) + G^T AB\Phi(t),$$

Then,

$$G^T(I - AB) \simeq F^T, \tag{23}$$

So, by setting  $M = I - AB$  and replacing  $\simeq$  by  $=$ , we will have,

$$M^T G = F. \tag{24}$$

Which is a linear system of equations with lower triangular coefficients matrix that gives the approximate block pulse coefficient of the unknown function  $g(t)$ .

#### 4. Solving Fredholm Integral Equations with Time Delay

We consider following Fredholm integral equation with constant time delay  $\tau > 0$ ,

$$g(t) = f(t) + \int_a^b k(t, s)g(s - \tau)ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad \tau \in (0, b - a), \tag{25}$$

Our problem is to determine block pulse coefficients of  $g(t)$  in the interval  $t \in [a, b]$  from the known functions  $f(t)$  and  $k(t, s)$ . usually we set  $a = 0$  to facilities the use of block pulse functions. In case  $a \neq 0$  we set  $s = \frac{t-a}{b-a}T$  where  $T = mh$ . Approximating functions  $g(t)$ ,  $f(t)$  and  $k(t, s)$  by BPFs by relations (7), (8), (18) gives,

$$g(t) \simeq G^T \Phi(t) = \Phi^T(t)G,$$

$$f(t) \simeq F^T \Phi(t) = \Phi^T(t)F,$$

$$k(t, s) \simeq \Phi^T(t)K\Psi(s) = \Psi^T(s)K^T\Phi(t),$$

$$g(s - \tau) \simeq G^T \Psi(s - \tau) \simeq G^T ((1 - \lambda)H^q + \lambda H^{q+1})\Psi(s) = G^T A\Psi(s),$$

where vectors  $F$ ,  $G$  and matrix  $K$  are BPFs coefficients of  $f(t)$ ,  $g(t)$  and  $k(t, s)$ , respectively.

With substituting above approximation in equation (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^T \Phi(t) &\simeq F^T \Phi(t) + \int_0^{mh} G^T A \Psi(s) \Psi^T(s) K^T \Phi(t) ds \\ &\simeq F^T \Phi(t) + G^T A \left( \int_0^{mh} \Psi(s) \Psi^T(s) ds \right) K^T \Phi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

by the relation  $\int_0^{mh} \Psi(s) \Psi^T(s) ds = hI$ , we have

$$G^T \Phi(t) \simeq F^T \Phi(t) + G^T AhIK^T \Phi(t),$$

or

$$G^T (I - hAK^T) \simeq F^T, \quad (27)$$

So, by assuming  $M = I - hAK^T$  and replacing  $\simeq$  by  $=$ , we can write,

$$M^T G = F. \quad (28)$$

Therefore we will have a linear system of equations that gives the approximate Block pulse coefficients of the unknown function  $g(t)$ .

## 5. Error Estimation and Rate of Convergence

In this section, we will show that the rate of convergence presented method for solving integral equations with time delay is  $O(h)$  and because of it we can obtain good degree of accuracy.

**THEOREM 5.1** *Suppose that  $f(t)$  is an arbitrary real bounded function, which is square integrable in the interval  $[0, 1)$ , and  $e(t) = f(t) - \hat{f}_m(t)$ ,  $t \in I = [0, 1)$ , which  $\hat{f}_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \phi_i^{(m)}(t)$  is the block pulse series of  $f(t)$ . Then,*

$$\|e(t)\| \leq \frac{h}{2\sqrt{3}} \sup_{t \in I} |f'(t)|. \quad (29)$$

*Proof* Let,

$$e_i(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) - f_i & t \in D_i, \\ 0 & t \in I - D_i. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

where  $D_i = \{t : (i-1)h \leq t < ih, h = \frac{1}{m}\}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .  
We have,

$$e_i(t) = f(t) - \frac{1}{h} \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} f(s) ds = \frac{1}{h} \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} (f(t) - f(s)) ds,$$



now by mean value theorem, we get,

$$e_i(t) = \frac{f'(\eta_i)}{h} \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} (t-s)ds = f'(\eta_i) \left( t + (-i + \frac{1}{2})h \right), \quad t, \eta_i \in D_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_i(t)\|^2 &= \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} |e_i(t)|^2 dt = (f'(\eta_i))^2 \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} \left( t + (-i + \frac{1}{2})h \right)^2 dt \\ &= \frac{h^3}{12} (f'(\eta_i))^2, \quad \eta_i \in D_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \|e(t)\|^2 &= \int_0^1 |e(t)|^2 dt = \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m e_i(t) \right)^2 dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m e_i^2(t) + 2 \sum_{i < j} e_i(t)e_j(t) \right] dt = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 e_i^2(t) dt = \sum_{i=1}^m \|e_i(t)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{h^3}{12} \sum_{i=1}^m (f'(\eta_i))^2 \leq \frac{h^2}{12} \sup_{t \in I} |f'(t)|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

or,

$$\|e(t)\| \leq \frac{h}{2\sqrt{3}} \sup_{t \in I} |f'(t)|.$$

hence,  $\|e(t)\| = O(h)$ . ■

**THEOREM 5.2** Suppose that  $f(s, t) \in L^2([0, 1) \times [0, 1))$  and  $e(s, t) = f(s, t) - \hat{f}_m(s, t)$ ,  $(s, t) \in D = [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ , which  $\hat{f}_m(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m f_{ij} \psi_i^{(m)}(s) \phi_j^{(m)}(t)$  is the block pulse series of  $f(s, t)$ . Then,

$$\|e(s, t)\| \leq \frac{h}{2\sqrt{3}} \left( \sup_{(x,y) \in D} |f'_s(x, y)|^2 + \sup_{(x,y) \in D} |f'_t(x, y)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{33}$$

*Proof* Let,

$$e_{ij}(s, t) = \begin{cases} f(s, t) - f_{ij} & (s, t) \in D_{ij}, \\ 0 & (s, t) \in D - D_{ij}. \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

where  $D_{ij} = \{(s, t) : (i - 1)h \leq s < ih, (j - 1)h \leq t < jh, h = \frac{1}{m}\}$  and  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

For  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we have,

$$e_{ij}(s, t) = f(s, t) - \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} \int_{(j-1)h}^{jh} f(x, y) dy dx = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} \int_{(j-1)h}^{jh} (f(s, t) - f(x, y)) dy dx,$$

now by mean value theorem, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ij}(s, t) &= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} \int_{(j-1)h}^{jh} \left( (s-x)f'_s(\eta_i, \eta_j) + (t-y)f'_t(\eta_i, \eta_j) \right) dydx \\ &= f'_s(\eta_i, \eta_j) \left( s + \left(-i + \frac{1}{2}\right)h \right) + f'_t(\eta_i, \eta_j) \left( t + \left(-j + \frac{1}{2}\right)h \right), \quad (s, t), (\eta_i, \eta_j) \in D_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{ij}(s, t)\|^2 &= \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} \int_{(j-1)h}^{jh} |e_{ij}(s, t)|^2 dt ds \\ &= \frac{h^4}{12} \left( f_s'^2(\eta_i, \eta_j) + f_t'^2(\eta_i, \eta_j) \right), \quad (\eta_i, \eta_j) \in D_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \|e(s, t)\|^2 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |e(s, t)|^2 dt ds = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m e_{ij}(s, t) \right)^2 dt ds \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e_{ij}^2(s, t) dt ds = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \|e_{ij}(s, t)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{h^4}{12} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \left( f_s'^2(\eta_i, \eta_j) + f_t'^2(\eta_i, \eta_j) \right) \leq \frac{h^2}{12} \left( \sup_{(x,y) \in D} |f'_s(x, y)|^2 + \sup_{(x,y) \in D} |f'_t(x, y)|^2 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

or,

$$\|e(s, t)\| \leq \frac{h}{2\sqrt{3}} \left( \sup_{(x,y) \in D} |f'_s(x, y)|^2 + \sup_{(x,y) \in D} |f'_t(x, y)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

hence,  $\|e(s, t)\| = O(h)$ . ■

## 6. Numerical Examples

To illustrate the theoretical results stated in Sections 3, 4 we consider below examples. The computations associated with the examples were performed using Matlab 7. Let  $G_i$  denote the Block pulse coefficient of exact solution of the given examples, and let  $g_i$  be the Block pulse coefficient of computed solutions by the presented method. The error is defined as

$$\|E\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |G_i - g_i|$$

*Example 6.1* Consider the following Volterra integral equation with (constant) time delay  $\tau > 0$ ,

$$g(t) = -\frac{t^4}{12} + \tau \frac{t^3}{3} + \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{2}\right)t^2 + \int_0^t (t-s)g(s-\tau)ds \quad s, t \in [0, T], \tau \in (0, T) \tag{37}$$

With the exact solution  $g(t) = t^2$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . The numerical results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Results for Example 6.1 with  $m = 32$

T=0.1		T=0.5		T=1	
$\tau$	$\ E\ _\infty$	$\tau$	$\ E\ _\infty$	$\tau$	$\ E\ _\infty$
0.001	2.25253702323E - 10	0.005	1.43236475159E - 7	0.01	2.41781324660E - 7
0.004	7.89018951737E - 10	0.020	5.05392178898E - 7	0.04	8.89548092253E - 7
0.007	1.05137312606E - 8	0.035	6.77549461664E - 6	0.07	1.18904409627E - 5
0.010	3.23552150853E - 8	0.050	2.07573266779E - 5	0.10	3.59412759183E - 5
0.013	7.10063631885E - 8	0.065	4.54085551807E - 5	0.13	7.78747910139E - 5
0.016	1.31142679048E - 7	0.080	8.36313265580E - 5	0.16	1.42228093536E - 6
0.019	2.17278255504E - 7	0.095	1.38209253211E - 8	0.19	2.33265255085E - 6
0.022	3.33766241622E - 7	0.110	2.11814666396E - 8	0.22	3.55022878247E - 6
0.025	4.84799248938E - 7	0.125	3.07014865478E - 8	0.25	5.11348138626E - 6

Example 6.2 Consider the following Fredholm integral equation with (constant) time delay  $\tau > 0$ ,

$$g(t) = t(T \cos(T-\tau) - \sin(T-\tau) - \sin(\tau)) + \sin(t) + \int_0^T (ts)g(s-\tau)ds \quad s, t \in [0, T], \tau \in (0, T) \tag{38}$$

With the exact solution  $g(t) = \sin(t)$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . The numerical results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Results for Example 6.2 with  $m = 32$

T=0.1		T=0.5		T=1	
$\tau$	$\ E\ _\infty$	$\tau$	$\ E\ _\infty$	$\tau$	$\ E\ _\infty$
0.001	2.58568237865E - 8	0.005	1.79098655889E - 7	0.01	4.75634508642E - 6
0.004	2.06631349057E - 8	0.020	1.43564755172E - 7	0.04	3.78276743845E - 6
0.007	3.90494418458E - 9	0.035	3.29688101492E - 6	0.07	1.18920567226E - 6
0.010	3.31892173962E - 8	0.050	2.09017956946E - 5	0.10	4.14221930711E - 6
0.013	9.93901366404E - 8	0.065	6.38269549703E - 5	0.13	1.32377076222E - 5
0.016	2.03467811041E - 7	0.080	1.31020801974E - 6	0.16	2.70420910648E - 5
0.019	3.54191473459E - 7	0.095	2.27981003931E - 6	0.19	4.64284955478E - 5
0.022	5.60329447516E - 7	0.110	3.60161712474E - 6	0.22	7.22073765667E - 5
0.025	8.30649143506E - 7	0.125	5.32974941630E - 6	0.25	1.05134960953E - 4

### 7. Conclusion

Using Block pulse functions as basis functions to solve the Volterra and Fredholm integral equations with constant time delay is very simple and effective in comparison with other methods. Its applicability and accuracy is checked on some examples. In these examples the norm infinity of error is given only for 10 specific values of  $\tau$ . The benefits of this method are low cost of setting up the equations without applying any projection method such as Galerkin, collocation, etc.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank South Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University for the Financial support of this research, which is based on a research project contract.

## References

- [1] M. Amirfakhrian and K. Shakibi, *Solving Integro-Differential Equation by Using B- Spline Interpolation*, International Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Computations, **3** (2013) 237–244.
- [2] H. A. Dads, P. Cieutat and L. Lhachimi, *Positive pseudo almost periodic solutions for some nonlinear infinite delay integral equations*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, **49** (2009) 721–739.
- [3] Z. H. Jiang and W. Schaufelberger, *Block Pulse Functions and Their Applications in Control Systems*, Springer-Verlag, (1992).
- [4] K. Maleknejad, M. Khodabin, M. Rostami and M. Nouri, *Numerical approach for solving stochastic Volterra-Fredholm integral equations by stochastic operational matrix*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, **64** (2012) 1903–1913.
- [5] K. Maleknejad and M. Nouri, *A direct method to solve integral and integro-differential equations of convolution type by using improved operational matrix*, International Journal of Systems Science, **44** (2013) 1173–1180.
- [6] H. R. Marzban and M. Razzaghi, *Optimal control of linear delay systems via hybrid of block-pulse and Legendre polynomials*, Journal of the Franklin Institute, **341** (2004) 279–293.
- [7] E. Messina, E. Russo and A. Vecchio, *A convolution test equation for double delay integral equations*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, **228** (2009) 589–599.
- [8] G. P. Rao, *Piecewise Constant Orthogonal Functions and Their Application to Systems and Control*, Springer, Berlin, (1983).
- [9] J. Rashidinia and Sh. Sharifi, *B-Spline Method for Two-Point Boundary Value Problems*, International Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Computations, **5** (2015) 111–125.
- [10] X. T. Wang, *Numerical solutions of optimal control for time delay systems by hybrid of block-pulse functions and Legendre polynomials*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **184** (2007) 849–856.
- [11] L. Wen, W. Wang and Y. Yu, *Dissipativity and asymptotic stability of nonlinear neutral delay integro-differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, **72** (2010) 1746–1754.